Statement

Name of company	Capacity (MT)
1. M/s. Ranbaxy Labs.	12
2. M/s. Nirup Synchrame	20
3. M/s. Rajasthan Antibiotics	15
4. M/s. Cadila Labs.	75
5. M/s. Lyka Labs.	13
6. M/s. Armour Chemicals	30
7. M/s. IPICOL	24
8. M/s. Standared Organics	25
9. M/s. Cepham Organics	50

Monitoring Prices of Non-Scheduled Bulk Drugs

651. SHRI BEKAL UTSAHI: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS be pleased to state:

(a) what are the names of non-scheduled bulk drugs, prices of which have been declared/revised during 1990-91, so far;

(b) what is the percentage increase and what are the basis of such increase;

(c) if so, what is the purpose and basis of monitoring done by his Ministry and how far it has helped in achieving the objective of providing medicines at reasonable prices?

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI SATYA PRAKASH MALAVIYA): (a) and (b) To the extent available, the information regarding declaration/revision of non-scheduled bulk drugs during 1990-91 has been given in the statement enclosed. *See* Appendix (CLVII Annexture No. 15) *Appendix I*. The inprices are due to the explanation in the cost of inputs and utilised.

(c) and (d) *Yes, Sir*. The monitoring cell keeps a watch on non-scheduled formulations only. Whenever any unusual price increase is noticed, Government intervenes. So far, prices in respect of 80 cases have been reduced on the intervention of the Government.

Decontrolling the products of M/s. Hoechst

662. SHRI H. HANUMANTHAPPA: SHRIMATI SATYA BAHIN:

Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that M/s Hoechst has to pay Rs. 30 crores as DPEA dues for the period after 1984;

(b) when was the amount communicated to the company;

(c) what is the reaction of this company and what steps have been taken to recover the amount;

(d) whether it is a fact that his Ministry has decontrolled the prices of

Floot and Mouth Disease vaccine, Procaine Hcl., Pentoxyfylline, Itemaccel and Glybenclamide which are produced by this company; and

(e) if so, what are the reasons therefor and what is the basis of price decontrol of these drugs?

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI SATYA PRAKASH MALAVIYA): (a) The liability of M|s Hoechst for the period from January, 1984 onwards has been tentatively assessed at Rs. 52.90 crores.

(b) The above-mentioned amount was communicated to the company in January 1991.

(c) The company had earlier filed Writ Petition in the Bombay High Court against the recoveries for the period upto 31.12.83. Recently, they have made a prayer to amend the Writ Petition so as to cover the liabilities for the period after 1984 also within the ambit of that Writ. The matter is, therefore, subjudice.

(d) and (e) Glybenclamide was decontrolled based on the recommendations of the Malkar Committee. Other drugs were not recommended for price control by the Kelkar Committee.

Working out of the amounts due from drug Companies

663. SHRI H. HANUMANTHAPPA:
SHRIMATI SATYA BAHIN:

Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that his Ministry has calculated the amount due from certain companies involved in Supreme Court cases after the 31st December, 1983;

(b) if so, what are the names of such companies and tentative amount calculated against each and upto what period;

(c) whether it is a fact that Supreme Court judgement directed the recovery of over-charged amount both on bulk drugs and formulations;

(d) if so, whether it is also a fact that special team worked out liabilities only on bulk drugs;

(e) if so, what basis scuh calculation was made;

(f) whether it is also a fact that certain companies have yet to provide data in respect to production, stocks, sales etc. for working out the liabilities; and

(g) if so, what are the names of those companies and what steps have been taken to obtain date (a)?

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI SATYA PRAKASH MALAVIYA): (a) Yes. Sir.

(b) Tentative amounts from 1984 onwards have been assessed against two companies only, namely, M/s Hoechst and M/s Merind Ltd at Rs. 52.90 crores and Rs. 15.07 crores respectively.

(c) The Supreme Court judgement, *inter-alia* provided for recovery of the dues, in the prices of formulations, into the Drug Prices Equalisation Account.

(d) and (e) Special Team worked out the amounts due against the companies in respect of bulk drugs/formulations.

(f) & (g) M/s Cyanamid India Ltd., S. G. Pharma, Anil Starch, John Wyeth and Geoffery Manners,, French Indian and Tamilnadu Dadha have provided the details upto 1983 relating to to stock, production sales, etc. Other companies are yet to provide these details.